Higher Education and Oriental Studies (HEOS) – Vol 2(1): 38 – 46
www.heos.asia/ojs
e-ISSN 2785-9118
http://doi.org/10.54435/heos.v2i1.45

Features of Environmental Sustainability Concerning Environmental Regulations, Green Innovation and Social Distribution in China

YUAN XIN*
Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
xyuan@graduate.utm.my

ASLAN B. AMAT SENIN
Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
aslan@utm.my

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT

We must focus on innovation and sustainability at the corporate level to achieve a sustainable future. Green and environmentally friendly innovations and government regulations and policies related to the environment are essential if we are to reduce pollution and improve our quality of life. People play a crucial role by adhering in this legislations and practices and by helping to promote environmentally friendly innovations and ideas. The current research was designed to better understand how environmental protection, green innovation, and social distribution affect China's long-term environmental health. If protection of the environment goals is set too high or financial decentralization is too strong, enforcing environmental regulations will not produce desirable results. This means that, environmental taxes must be better collected, environmental responsibility in businesses must be strengthened, and more money must be put into research, innovation, and environmental protection efforts. The implementation of environmental regulatory requirements should also account for the corporate offices' institutional settings and governance characteristics.

Keywords: environmental sustainability; environmental regulations; green innovation; social distribution

INTRODUCTION

Protection of the environment is a concept that refers to a growing awareness of our impact on the natural world (Rosen, 2018). In the modern age, both developing and developed countries have tried to develop a sustainable environment within their borders in order to counteract climate change and other environmental concerns associated with global warming. In China, where more than 700 million people reside in metropolitan areas, there is an urgent need to address environmental concerns has arisen. China's modern age is confronted with two significant challenges: speeding up the transition to green development modes and attaining high-quality economic growth. There is a lack of green technology and sustainable responsibility in China's major corporations. The government has implemented numerous environmental regulations to limit business activity and create a more environmentally friendly, resource-efficient, and cost-effective manufacturing process. Innovation that disrupts the business model and its associated ecosystems is known as disruptive innovation (Zhonghua & Ali, 2021). Investigating why established players may be left behind or lose ground because of innovations introduced by newcomers. The best way to describe the twenty-first century is as an era of constant disruption, in which new business models and technological advancements affect individual companies, industries as a whole, and their environment.

It is the responsibility of businesses to promote sustainable development, that is referred to as, corporate environmental responsibility (CER). Every aspect of an enterprise's manufacturing operation, consumption, and service is affected by green innovation, which

includes changes to the technology, company, and institutions (Peng et al., 2018). There is an absence of, in-depth discussions about how environmental regulations affect businesses and how it affects their internal mechanisms have been lacking in previous research on environmental protections (Hu et al., 2021). The impact of environmental regulations on manufacturing companies must be monitored closely. Green technology innovation (GTI) and corporate environmental responsibility are examined concerning environmental regulatory oversight.

Two major perspectives on the economic impact of environmental regulations, the compliance cost and Porter Hypothesis, have been agreed upon by many academics. Manufacturing companies have had to spend a lot of money on pollution control measures. Economic output may have to be reduced for businesses to increase their financial conditions and integrate environmental development (Lee et al., 2021). Contrary to popular belief, Porter (1995) emphasized the importance of moderate environmental regulations in promoting the creation of innovation compensation effects. Real economy climate regulations, like pollution charges and carbon permit system trading can boost an enterprise's competitive strength by encouraging technological innovation (Rong-hui,2018). There is a growing body of evidence that harsher environmental regulations in emerging economies combat pollution and change industrial companies' innovativeness. A green innovation approach and energy policy can help develop polluting companies' conversion into energy-efficient ones and enhance future results (Kraus et al. 2020). According to Acemoglu et al. (2012), environmental policy tools, such as pollution emissions charges and environmental subsidies, could be used together to encourage green technology innovation. Green technology innovation has a greater advantage for businesses as environmental regulations spread and strengthen (Machiba, 2010).

According to several research, the link between CSR and CER and innovation is significant (Xu et al. 2020). It is widely accepted that stakeholders have a significant impact on industry greening. A company's CSR efforts can help it secure external resources, such as funding from the government and support from the community. According to Chkir et al. (2021), a company's CSR's environmental responsibility drives corporate innovation. CER is the primary component of corporate sustainability, and it is the result of businesses responding to environmental legislation from the authorities. The Corporate Environmental Responsibility relies on environmental management strategies which improve the company's ability to perform. An increase in the percentage of green investments was discovered by Ferri and Pini (2019). We believe that achieving the policy framework of pollution-intensive companies may continuously improve and eventually promote their capacity to develop (Liu et al.2019) sustainably.

Many environmental regulations have an impact on green technology innovation at companies. However, little research has been carried on the internal mechanisms of environmental protections influencing industry practices, specifically environmental protection. Non-green innovation, green process innovation, and sustainable environment are all options available to businesses when subject to environmental regulations. Heavy-polluting industrial companies must improve their internal governance structure to enhance their effectiveness. Regulators' efforts to protect the environment significantly impact certification and ecological integrity, among other things (Jiang et al., 2021). Companies' environmental performance is more and more being influenced by environment protection as a part of social obligation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

THEORY OF SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGIES

According to the sustainability theory, social responses to cultural and environmental issues must be integrated into a state's overall plan of action (Font et al., 2016). It is composed of three fundamental concepts: an economic ideology, an ecological notion, and a political model for defining human self-respect through the social system (Stern, 2018). The business world and the government's management can benefit from this theoretical concept because it encourages them to incorporate environmental sustainability into their strategic decision-making procedures (Hummel & Schlick, 2016). According to the object response theory, Vincenzi et al. (2018) conducted research for Cleaner Production Journal paper on sustainable environmental perceptions stated that environmental protection leadership is developed using the sustainability perception-based rating scales to improve the environment and moral education to raise the view of residents in the area. Besides this, several other academics have also focused on the theory's role in promoting sustainable environmental development in both developing and developed countries. Another group intended the state's economic transformation toward environmental sustainability by focusing on effective concepts (Dinica, 2018).

SUSTAINABILITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS

According to environmentalists and analysts, environmental regulations have a huge effect on the performance of a responsible and innovative organization because of their proactive direction, which allows them to operate more effectively in the current era of environmental legislation innovation and rules (Ramanathan et al. 2017). A government's trade liberalization-based corporate strategy activities play an important role in developing a sustainable environment (Mahrinasari et al., 2019). According to Piera et al. (2017), environmental activities and laws within a state have a major impact on continuous production. Researchers believe Green Information systems are directly linked to a state's sustainability goals (Centobelli et al., 2017). Experts claim that poor management and governance agencies in developing countries are to blame for their environmental woes because of the strong influence of leadership and institutions. This finding demonstrates that environmental regulation of innovation and other commercial activities directly impact ecological health (Dalle et al. 2020).

SOCIAL DISTRIBUTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

It's important to communicate effectively to spread an environmental message. An important aspect of environmental sustainability information dissemination is social platforms, networking, and word-of-mouth tactics such as social distribution. There is a direct correlation between this pattern of social metabolism, the increase in climate justice transmission conflict, the emergence of new forms of ecological dispersion, and significant contribution to sustainable transition all of which had a direct impact on ecological sustainability in 2018. (Scheidel et al. 2018). Temper et al. (2018) recognized ecological utilization conflicts based on the Environmental Protection Justice Coordinates, asserting that they had a significant impact on climate change and established global environmental damage, which had a direct impact on the pleasant environment and a variety of other ecological concerns (Scheidel et al. 2018).

Journal of Implementation Science and Informatics indicated that social metabolism and environmental dispute in Bolivia, Colombia, Peru, & Ecuador are changing rapidly (Grabara et al. 2020). A state's major differing sectors are based on biomass, natural gas, hydropower, and mining (Pérez-Rincon et al. 2018). Nowadays, it is essential to produce social distribution ideas for ecological systems that lead to long environmental protection. When it comes to the ecology service-based journal's ultimate aim is to make equality within the environmental systems for the long term. Their analysis concentrated on intergenerational, cross-species, and

prescriptive allocation and concluded that the most effective ways for controlling the ecological system are efficiency, sufficiency, and consistency (Schröter et al., 2017). Study findings by statisticians proved that a well-balanced distribution of resources in a developing state leads to environmental sustainability and the long-term viability of the state's economy (Saunila et al., 2018).

When we talk about "green innovation" we're referring to the act of incorporating eco-friendly ideas throughout a company's production and operations as a whole. Innovative green technologies, sustainable business practices, and environmentally friendly marketing are all part of the process. Green innovation helps companies grow sustainably by enhancing the quality of their products and minimizing the amount of pollution they produce (Saunila et al., 2018). The R&D spending of heavy polluters must be increased to improve the competitiveness of green innovation. The competitiveness of products and businesses are directly correlated when it comes to green innovation. A product's marketing revenues are a direct result of the government's environmental protection and innovation subsidies, which can be obtained by companies that innovate in technology. For two reasons, listed companies are forced to pursue green growth strategies and innovations in business management practices because of the demand from stakeholders. A motivating factor for development can be deduced from environmental regulation. Environmental protection can be a major motivation for the company. An ecological regulation's compensation impact can only be achieved if a company is innovative enough. The advantages of green technology innovation for polluting industrial companies overwhelm those of other types of innovation. Environmental legislation is what drives industrial companies to develop green technology.

METHODOLOGY

In electronic databases, such as PubMed, Web of Sciences, and Scopus, keywords about environmental legislation, green innovation, and social distribution were used to carry out a detailed search for this work. At least one hundred thirty data points were found on various websites between early 2015 and late 2021. As a result of these updates, all documents released in 2021, including 30 records, were also scanned. According to the criteria for inclusion and exclusion, these papers had their titles and abstracts revised. Many studies explored the effects of environmental sustainability on environmental regulations, green innovation, and social distribution. Non-English publications, papers that could not be read in full, and pieces produced by clinical groups with unique personalities were excluded from the study. The titles and abstracts of sixty-five publications were used to select them for a thorough text review. Finally, 12 papers that are included in the review. Finally, the citations and references of the selected publications were examined, and the study included four articles that were cited in the selected articles.

DISCUSSION

To begin with, corporations can boost their Research and Innovation budgets and implement new initiatives to spur green growth and competitiveness. The alternative is for businesses to significantly increase their investments in environmental control to minimize and control sustainability. According to an analytical approach, entrepreneurs can leverage green and non-green technology innovations to increase their profitability in the face of environmental legislation. According to the mediating lowered to a certain amount, environmental rules have a corporate innovation influenced by more than simple political ties. It also has an impact on the enforcement of environmental laws. On the other hand, private businesses and weak technological corporations have benefited from political connections to improve their

innovative capabilities (Cheng et al., 2019). Corporate green technology innovation can be boosted by support for environmental regulation. Leaders' political contacts could potentially enhance the implementation of environmental regulations, increasing their policy impact. It has been shown that the stronger environmental regulations, the greater the implementation effect of politically related R&D expenditures, which in turn increases research and innovation effects. Due to their political relations, environmental violators are less likely to be punished, and hence, have a "protective effect." Environmental regulations cannot effectively raise the total green process efficiency because of the existence of strong political ties.

Regulated businesses are becoming more aware of their environmental responsibilities. CER activities encourage new ideas by investing in environmental protection, sharing environmental data, and promoting green products and services. Besides employing direct oversight, the government will regularly urge businesses to take greater responsibility for the environment by employing mandatory environmental reports. Companies with superior environmental records are more likely to make environmental data available in their social responsibility disclosures than those that aren't as transparent (Graafland, 2019). Investors and the capital market are interested in environmental responsibility, a major element of business social responsibilities, and under examination. Following the PRC's corporate law, listed industries must disclose their social and environmental activities and in full on a timely basis. Companies in the manufacturing sector should conduct environmental assessments, take action to reduce their influence on the environment, and encourage sustainable growth. Businesses face various challenges from the public, the capital markets, and the government to meet the growing needs of environmental regulations. The economic impacts of CER are increased by boosting companies' organizational performance and decreasing their credit costs (Xu et al. 2020). Enough money is provided to encourage corporate innovation.

Encouraging environmental management system certification and publication of environmental information by publicly traded corporations is facilitated by environmental regulations (Cormier and Magnan, 2013). The client theory holds that making environmental data available to investors and regulators reduces investment risk and increases openness (Tzouvanas et al., 2020). Companies are responding to the demands of their stakeholders by focusing on Corporate Environmental Responsibility, implementing an environmental administration system, and increasing their investment in environmental regulation. As a result of environmental regulation and environmental supervision, corporations have changed their behaviour and implemented diverse environmental strategies in response to the many types of environmental control they face.

Regulators are more concerned about green innovation than other forms of innovation. When making business decisions, environmental protection can motivate corporations to devote greater resources to innovation capability. Green approach and green personnel management have positively impacted green operations and product innovation in the past. (Singh et al. 2020). According to Tseng et al. (2020), ecological stewardship is the basis of sustainable development, which in turn promotes corporate economic and financial performance. According to Mishra (2015), creative companies gain from CSR initiatives because of their environmental aspects. A company's internal characteristics determine how environmental regulations affect its performance. Certification of an environmental management system helps foster a company structure of green innovation and supports the development of new green technologies. As a result, corporate environmental responsibility helps society gain more support from the outside world.

Environmental constraints play a key impact in industrial enterprises' adoption of green innovation, particularly in the following areas: Environmental limitations can encourage businesses to invest more in research and development and innovation, which can help them become more highly evolved and competitive. According to scholars, such as Yang,

environmental constraints can drive businesses to innovate in green ways through the compensatory effect of innovation (Yang et al., 2012). The primary aspect of environmental innovation is that it can help company management better understand the relationship between environmental protection and sustainability practices (Yu et al., 2017). To meet environmental standards and reduce pollution, manufacturing firms need to increase their waste discharge capacity through green technology research & development activities and other measures. Thus, the company can safeguard the environment better while simultaneously encouraging green product and process innovation. Finally, even though environmental rules will have a short-term negative impact on businesses, they may encourage technological innovation (Dechezleprêtre & Sato, 2017). For businesses, environmental laws have driven up costs, yet green innovation allows them to boost earnings without harming the environment. As a result, businesses can improve revenues, but they can also advertise their green innovativeness.

Green innovation serves as a bridge between environmental regulations and the smart upgrading of industrial companies. Based on the preceding analysis, we can conclude that environmental laws can successfully assist entrepreneurs' green innovation and that environmental protection can motivate industrial operations to upgrade intelligently. In addition, green innovation can help improve the efficiency of producers. Environmental restriction can significantly affect the financial ability to upgrade intelligently and stimulate green innovation in those companies indicates that environmental regulations are crucial motivators for this type of upgrading. For environmental rules to foster the intelligent improvement of industrial firms, green innovation is a critical method. In the end, environmental restrictions have had a favourable effect on the intelligent modernization of industrial firms because of green innovations.

CONCLUSION

Environmental regulations have a beneficial effect on the intelligent upgrading of entrepreneurs while Environmental constraints have a beneficial effect on green innovation in the industrial sector. Green innovation has a positive effect on the sensible upgrading of industrial businesses because green innovation acts as a link between environmental regulation and business intelligence. Green innovation and industry 4.0 are positively connected as a result of its environmental change. Critical to remember that when environmental uncertainty is high, green innovation has a comparatively strong beneficial impact on businesses' intelligent progress. In comparison, when an organization's innovation strategy is ineffective, it has a negative effect. China needs to implement adequate environmental legislation and invest in green and environmentally friendly creative projects to achieve environmental sustainability in China.

REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P., Bursztyn, L., & Hemous, D. (2012). The environment and directed technical change. *American Economic Review, 102*(1), 131-166. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.102.1.131

Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Esposito, E. (2017). Environmental sustainability in the service industry of transportation and logistics service providers: Systematic literature review and research directions. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 53*, 454-470. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361920916305302

Cheng, L., Cheng, H., & Zhuang, Z. (2019). Political connections, corporate innovation, and entrepreneurship: Evidence from the China employer-employee survey (CEES). *China Economic Review, 54*, 286-305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2018.12.002

Chkir, I., El Haj Hassan, B., Rjiba, H., & Saadi, S. (2021). Does corporate social responsibility influence corporate innovation? International evidence. *Emerging Markets Review, 46,* 100746. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100746

Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (2013). The economic relevance of environmental disclosure and its impact on corporate legitimacy: An empirical investigation. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 24*(6), 431-450. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1829

Dalle, J., Hairudinor, Baharuddin, Sriadhi, & Chandra, T. 2020. Does information technology unrest alter the effect of risk-taking attitude on the organization's performance? *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues, 9*(M), 158-172. https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2020.9.M(13)

Dechezleprêtre, A., & Sato, M. (2017). The impacts of environmental regulations on competitiveness. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 11*(2), 183-206. https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/rex013

Dinica, V. (2018). The environmental sustainability of protected area tourism: towards a concession-related regulation theory. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 26*(1), 146-164. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09669582.2017.1322599

Ferri, & Pini. (2019). Environmental vs. social responsibility in the firm. Evidence from Italy. *Sustainability, 11*(16), 4277. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164277

Font, X., Garay, L., & Jones, S. (2016). A social cognitive theory of sustainability empathy. *Annals of Tourism Research, 58*, 65-80.

Graafland, J. (2019). Economic freedom and corporate environmental responsibility: The role of small government and freedom from government regulation. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 218*, 250-258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.308

Grabara, J., Hussain, H.I., & Szajt, M. (2020) Sustainable University Development through Sustainable HR and Corporate Entrepreneurship: The role of Sustainable Innovation and Environment. *Amfiteatru Economic, 22* (54), 480-495. https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=851882

Hille, E., & Möbius, P. (2018). Environmental policy, innovation, and productivity growth: Controlling the effects of regulation and Endogeneity. *Environmental and Resource Economics, 73*(4), 1315-1355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-018-0300-6

Hu, Y., Sun, S., & Dai, Y. (2021). Environmental regulation, green innovation, and international competitiveness of manufacturing enterprises in China: From the perspective of heterogeneous regulatory tools. *PLOS ONE, 16*(3), e0249169. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249169

Hummel, K., & Schlick, C. (2016). The relationship between sustainability performance and sustainability disclosure– Reconciling voluntary disclosure theory and legitimacy theory. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 35*(5), 455-476. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0278425416300333

Jiang, Z., Wang, Z., & Lan, X. (2021). How do environmental regulations affect corporate innovation? The coupling mechanism of mandatory rules and voluntary management. *Technology in Society, 65*, 101575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101575

Kesidou, E., & Wu, L. (2020). The stringency of environmental regulation and eco-innovation: Evidence from the eleventh five-year plan and green patents. *Economics Letters, 190*, 109090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2020.109090

Kraus, S., Rehman, S. U., & García, F. J. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and environmental performance: The mediating role of environmental strategy and green

innovation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 160*, 120262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120262

Lee, C., Zeng, M., & Wang, C. (2021). Environmental regulation, innovation capability, and green total factor productivity: new evidence from China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-962061/v1

Liu, T., Liang, D., Zhang, Y., Song, Y., & Xing, X. (2019). The antecedent and performance of environmental managers' proactive pollution reduction behavior in Chinese manufacturing firms: Insight from the proactive behavior theory. *Journal of Environmental Management, 242*, 327-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.04.050

Machiba, T. (2010). Eco-innovation for enabling resource efficiency and green growth: Development of an analytical framework and preliminary analysis of industry and policy practices. *International Economics and Economic Policy, 7*(2-3), 357-370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-010-0171-y

Mahrinasari, M., Haseeb, M., & Ammar, J. (2019). Is trade liberalization a hazard to a sustainable environment? Fresh insight from ASEAN countries. *Polish Journal of Management Studies, 19.*

Mishra, D. R. (2015). Post-innovation CSR performance and firm value. *Journal of Business Ethics, 140*(2), 285-306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2676-3

Peng, B., Tu, Y., Elahi, E., & Wei, G. (2018). Extended producer responsibility and corporate performance: Effects of environmental regulation and environmental strategy. *Journal of Environmental Management, 218,* 181-189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.068

Pérez-Rincón, M., Vargas-Morales, J., & Crespo-Marín, Z. (2018). Trends in social metabolism and environmental conflicts in four Andean countries from 1970 to 2013. *Sustainability science, 13*(3), 635-648. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11625-017-0510-9

Porter, M. E., & Linde, C. V. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9*(4), 97-118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97

Ramanathan, R., He, Q., Black, A., Ghobadian, A., & Gallear, D. (2017). Environmental regulations, innovation, and firm performance: A revisit of the Porter hypothesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 155*, 79-92. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652616312641

Rexhepi, G., Kurtishi, S., & Bexheti, G. (2013). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and innovation–the drivers of business growth? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 75*, 532-541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.04.058

Rong-hui, X. (2018). Environmental innovation and green transformation of economic growth pattern: Evidence from China. *Energy, Environment and Transitional Green Growth in China*, 277-287. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7919-1_13

Rosen, M. A. (2018). Environmental sustainability tools in the biofuel industry. *Biofuel Research Journal, 5*(1), 751-752.https://www.biofueljournal.com/article_58093.html

Saunila, M., Ukko, J., & Rantala, T. (2018). Sustainability as a driver of green innovation investment and exploitation. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 179*, 631-641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.211

Scheidel, A., Temper, L., Demaria, F., & Martínez-Alier, J. (2018). Ecological distribution conflicts as forces for sustainability: an overview and conceptual framework. *Sustainability Science, 13*(3), 585-598. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11625-017-0519-0

Schröter, M., Stumpf, K. H., Loos, J., van Oudenhoven, A. P., Böhnke-Henrichs, A., & Abson, D. J. (2017). Refocusing ecosystem services towards sustainability. *Ecosystem services, 25*, 35-43

Singh, S. K., Giudice, M. D., Chierici, R., & Graziano, D. (2020). Green innovation and environmental performance: The role of green transformational leadership and green human resource management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 150, 119762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119762

Stern, M. J. (2018). Social science theory for environmental sustainability: A practical guide: Oxford University Press.

Tseng, M., Chang, C., Lin, C., Nguyen, T. T., & Lim, M. K. (2020). Environmental responsibility drives board structure and financial and governance performance: A cause and effect model with qualitative information. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 258*, 120668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120668

Tzouvanas, P., Kizys, R., Chatziantoniou, I., & Sagitova, R. (2020). Environmental disclosure and idiosyncratic risk in the European manufacturing sector. *Energy Economics, 87*, 104715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104715

Vincenzi, S. L., Possan, E., de Andrade, D. F., Pituco, M. M., de Oliveira Santos, T., & Jasse, E. P. (2018). Assessment of environmental sustainability perception through item response theory: A case study in Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 170*, 1369-1386. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652617322205

Xu, F., Yang, M., Li, Q., & Yang, X. (2020). Long-term economic consequences of corporate environmental responsibility: Evidence from heavily polluting listed companies in China. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 29*(6), 2251-2264. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2500

Yang, C., Tseng, Y., & Chen, C. (2012). Environmental regulations, induced R&D, and productivity: Evidence from Taiwan's manufacturing industries. *Resource and Energy Economics, 34*(4), 514-532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2012.05.001

Yu, W., Ramanathan, R., & Nath, P. (2017). Environmental pressures and performance: An analysis of the roles of environmental innovation strategy and marketing capability. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 117*, 160-169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.12.005

Zhonghua, Y., & Ali, M. N. (2021). Disruptive innovation: Beyond media convergence in content production. Higher Education and Oriental Studies, 1(4). https://doi.org/10.54435/heos.v1i4.32

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Yuan Xin is currently studying for a master's degree in management at AHIBS (Azman Hashim International Business School) in UTM (Universiti Teknologi Malaysia). He can be reached by email at xyuan@graduate.utm.my.

Dr. Aslan B. Amat Senin is Associate Professor of Technology and Innovation Management at AHIBS. His research and teachings are therefore wide-ranging; within or intersections between innovation studies; science, technology and innovation policy research; technology and innovation management; university-industry links; and operation management.